# APPENDIX A.4J: Laboratory Evaluation of the SO<sub>2</sub>/Air and Peroxide Process

#### VOLUME A.II: PROJECT INTRODUCTION & OVERVIEW

#### A.1 Introduction

A.1A Concordance Table to the Executive Committee's Request for Supplementary Information

**A.2** First Nations and Community Consultation

A.2A Traditional Knowledge Bibliography

A.3 Project Location

A.4 Project Description

A.4A Tailings Management Facility Construction Material Alternatives

A.4B Information on Alternative Access Road Alignments

A.4C Feasibility Design of the Heap Leach Facility

A.4D Report on the Feasibility Design of the Tailings Management Facility

A.4E Results of Additional Lab Testing of Leach Ore

A.4F Waste Storage Area and Stockpiles Feasibility Design

A.4G Updated Hydrometeorology Report

A.4H Cold Climate Passive Treatment Systems Literature Review

A.4I Open Pit Geotechnical Design

A.4J Laboratory Evaluation of the SO<sub>2</sub>/Air and Peroxide Process

A.4K Metal Uptake in Northern Constructed Wetlands

A.4L Revised Tailings Management Facility Seepage Assessment

A.4M Processing Flow Sheets

A.4N Scoping Level Assessment of Casino Property

A.40 Advanced Metallurgical Assessment of the Casino Copper Gold Project

A.4P Production of Environmental Tailings Samples for the Casino Deposit

A.4Q Mine Site Borrow Materials Assessment Report

A.4R Report on Laboratory Geotechnical Testing of Tailings Materials

A.5 Effects Assessment Methodology

# LABORATORY EVALUATION OF THE SO<sub>2</sub>/AIR AND PEROXIDE PROCESS

# CYANIDE REMOVAL PROCESS FOR SOLUTION TREATMENT

FOR

Casino Project Western Copper Corporation Canada

January, 2010

# PREPARED

BY

R&C Environmental Services Inc. Ontario, Canada

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# 2. SCOPE OF WORK

2.1 Feed Samples

# 3. **PROCESS DESCRIPTIONS**

- 3.1  $SO_2/AIR$
- 3.2 Peroxide

#### 4. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

### 5. TEST RESULTS

- 5.1 Test Procedures
- 5.2 Treatment Objectives
- 5.3 Slurry Treatment
- 5.3.1  $SO_2/AIR$
- 5.3.2 Peroxide

#### 6. **DISCUSSION** of **RESULTS**

- 6.1 Solution Treatment
- 7. Typical Laboratory Set-up
- 8. Typical Reagent Consumptions

# 9. CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

10. Disclaimer

# Statement of Confidentiality

The enclosed report contains confidential information which is intended solely for the use of the recipient Western Copper Corporation Casino Project. Terms and conditions respecting the disclosure or release of any of the contained information to any third party are prohibited.

#### **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The  $SO_2$ /Air cyanide destruction process and the Peroxide process were successful in reducing the residual  $CN_{WAD}$  (weak acid dissociable cyanide) to below 0.20 mg/L targets put in place by Western Copper Corporation. In general a good effluent quality was achieved for both process treatments used. The levels of base metals removal also showed good improvement for both process treatments used.

The solution was effectively treated using a single stage operating with approximately 60 minutes of retention and an SO<sub>2</sub> dosage of 5.0 g/g  $CN_{WAD}$ . A pH of 9.50 was found to be suitable for effective cyanide removal with minimal need of lime for pH control.

The need of copper sulphate ( $CuSO_4$ ) addition was not required for effective removal of cyanide. The copper present in the feed solution was enough for the process to be catalytic during this test program. At the actual treatment facility, copper sulphate addition will be dependent upon the relative concentration of copper in the feed.

The Peroxide process test work did show good results but did require the addition of acid to keep the pH at set point of 9.5. Please note that during normal plant conditions it is not expected that acid would be required due to higher than normal pH found in the feed solution of 12.1. The solution was effectively treated using a single stage operating with approximately 60 minutes of retention and an  $H_2O_2$  dosage of 6:1 molar ratio to  $CN_{WAD}$  was required to meet the 0.20 mg/L  $CN_{WAD}$ . A pH of 9.50 was found to be sufficient for effective cyanide removal metal precipitation.

Due to cost of the peroxide it is suggested that based on comparison of both the  $SO_2/Air$  and Peroxide process that peroxide is not cost effective process to be used at the Casino project.

#### 2. SCOPE OF WORK

The present test work program was undertaken at the request of Western Copper Corporation on solutions produced after test work evaluation performed on the heap leach process for recovery of metals. The work included investigations of SO<sub>2</sub>/AIR process and Peroxide process. The laboratory program was conducted at the Barrick Technology Centre in Vancouver, British Columbia. The detailed scope of work was according to the agreement between Western Copper Corporation and R&C Environmental Consulting Services Inc. of Ontario, Canada, and included the following:

• The objectives were to test the SO<sub>2</sub>/Air and Peroxide process for effective removal of cyanide from feeds collected after the heap leach process under normal operating conditions.

• A target of less 1.0 mg/L  $CN_{WAD}$  had been set out by Western Copper Corporation as the objective to meet the present cyanide permits required under Canadian code agreement.

• Continuous cyanide destruction by the SO<sub>2</sub>/AIR process to determine the effectiveness of the process solution effluents.

• Continuous cyanide destruction by Peroxide process to determine the effectiveness of the process solution effluents.

• Chemical characterization (cyanide speciation, dissolved metals, pH) of all process feed and residual streams when possible by site or off site laboratories.

• Final report summarizing results of the test work program and providing operating cost estimates for the various test options as well as conclusions and recommendations for process selection.

#### 2.1 Feed Samples

A 20 liter sample was provided from the previous test work performed on the heap leach process. Western Copper personnel identified the sample as initial preg solution from Metcon Research's leach column Cl-01, taken in December 2009. Each day the feed solution was sampled and tested for cyanide weak acid dissociable using the picric acid method. Also samples of the feed solution were tested for metal's using the ICP method. Based on the results conditions of the test were established.

### 3. **PROCESS DESCRIPTIONS**

#### 3.1 $SO_2/AIR$

The  $SO_2/AIR$  process can be applied to the treatment of both cyanide solutions and pulps, however, it is in the area of slurry treatment (CIP/CIL pulps or re-pulped filter cakes from a Merrill-Crowe circuit) where the process has enjoyed a recognized worldwide reputation. Main advantages of the process are the removal of the total cyanide to levels of about 1 mg/l, in a single-stage continuous reactor and low operating costs.

The technology uses sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), in various reagent forms, (sodium sulfite, sodium metabisulfite, ammonium bisulfite, liquid SO<sub>2</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> containing roaster gas or SO<sub>2</sub> from burning elemental sulfur) in combination with air (or pure oxygen). Stoichiometrically, the process requires a ratio of approximately 2.5 g of SO<sub>2</sub>/g of CN<sub>WAD</sub>. The oxidation of cyanide is in accordance with one of the following overall reactions:

$$CN^{-} + Na_{2}SO_{3} + O_{2} \rightarrow OCN^{-} + Na_{2}SO_{4}$$
  
Or:  
$$CN^{-} + \frac{1}{2} Na_{2}S_{2}O_{5} + O_{2} + \frac{1}{2} H_{2}O \rightarrow OCN^{-} + \frac{1}{2} Na_{2}SO_{4} + \frac{1}{2} H_{2}SO_{4}$$
  
Or:  
$$CN^{-} + SO_{2} + O_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow OCN^{-} + H_{2}SO_{4}$$

As shown above, the oxidation of cyanide produces cyanate (OCN) and, depending on the  $SO_2$  reagent, produces sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) as an intermediate. At the prevailing pH of the process, however, the sulfuric acid is continuously neutralized with lime producing calcium sulfate dihydrate (gypsum), as follows:

$$H_2SO_4 + Ca(OH)_2 \rightarrow CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$$

The process will oxidize free cyanide and all cyanide complexes with copper, nickel, zinc, silver, and cadmium. The oxidation is catalyzed by soluble copper ( $Cu^{2+}$ ), which, if required, can be supplemented by addition of copper sulfate solution. As cyanide is oxidized, metals are liberated and precipitated out of solution as hydroxides by the following reactions:

Cyanide present in the form of a strong complex, bound with either iron, cobalt, or gold, and is not oxidized by the process. Gold cyanide, of course, should not be a concern for a destruction unit, as it is recovered by carbon within the plant. Removal of the iron and cobalt cyanide complexes is achieved by precipitation with copper or zinc, according to the following reactions:

$$Me^{2+} + Fe(CN)_6^{4-} \rightarrow Me_2Fe(CN)_6$$
  
Or:  
$$Me^{2+} + Co(CN)_6^{4-} \rightarrow Me_2Co(CN)_6$$

Generally, the  $SO_2/AIR$  process works best in the pH range of 8 to 9 and optimum operating pH is determined during the test work program. Optimization of both pH operating range and reagent consumption has proven to be site-specific for all ore types tested to date.

Cyanate produced by oxidation of cyanide slowly hydrolyzes to carbonate and ammonium as follows:

 $OCN^{-} + 2H^{+} + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + NH_4^{+}$ Or:  $OCN^{-} + OH^{-} + H_2O \rightarrow CO_3^{2-} + NH_3$ 

Depending on pH.

#### 3.2 Hydrogen Peroxide and Caro's Acid

**Hydrogen peroxide**  $(H_2O_2)$  has a well-established reputation as the process of choice for treating clear cyanide solutions. The primary benefit of hydrogen peroxide is that it is a "clean" chemical in the sense that the reaction product of the  $H_2O_2$  itself is simply water. In a peroxide treatment system there will be no appreciable increase in the dissolved solids concentration; scaling and undesirable salting conditions are avoided. This is an important factor in any flow sheet scenario incorporating a filtration stage.

The oxidation of cyanide with peroxide produces cyanate and water as shown in the following equation:

$$CN^- + H_2O_2 \rightarrow OCN^- + H_2O$$

The cyanate subsequently hydrolyzes slowly to produce ammonium and carbonate ions:

$$OCN^- + 2H^+ + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + NH_4^+$$

or:

$$OCN^- + OH^- + H_2O \rightarrow CO_3^{2-} + NH_3$$

#### depending on the pH.

Although ammonia  $(NH_3)$  is toxic to fish at low levels, it is almost entirely available in the far less toxic cationic form  $(NH_4^+)$  at the natural pH of open waterways.

If excess hydrogen peroxide is present in the treated wastewater, it rapidly decomposes to water and oxygen, presenting no environmental threat:

$$2H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O + O_2$$

Hydrogen peroxide is capable of oxidizing both "free" cyanide  $(CN_i)$  and complexes (titratable cyanide):

$$CN^{-}$$
, HCN, NaCN, KCN, Ca(CN)<sub>2</sub>, Cd(CN)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Zn(CN)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>

and "weak acid dissociable" cyanide ( $CN_{WAD}$ ) complexes, which include the above mentioned titratable cyanide species as well as the following metal cyanide species:

$$Cu(CN)_{2}^{-}$$
,  $Cu(CN)_{3}^{2-}$ ,  $Cu(CN)_{4}^{3-}$ ,  $Ag(CN)_{2}^{-}$ ,  $Ni(CN)_{4}^{2-}$ 

In contrast, the following metal cyanide complexes cannot be oxidized by hydrogen peroxide. These compounds, along with  $CN_{WAD}$  complexes, are measured as "total" cyanide ( $CN_{tot}$ ):

$$Au(CN)^{2-}$$
,  $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$ ,  $Fe(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ ,  $Co(CN)_{6}^{4-}$ 

However, it is still possible to achieve  $CN_{tot}$  limits by precipitating the  $Fe(CN)_6^4$  with, for example, copper ions:

$$\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_{6}^{4} + 2\operatorname{Cu}^{2+} \rightarrow \operatorname{Cu}_{2}\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_{6}$$
 (solid)

This can normally be accomplished by lowering the pH to 8 to 9 in the presence of copper hydroxide. Occasionally, more copper must be added in the form of copper sulfate to achieve the desired  $CN_{tot}$  level.

The cyanide destruction reaction using peroxide is relatively fast in most wastewater samples. The presence of transition metals, especially copper, helps to accelerate the reaction. However, effluents that contain little or no metals may require a catalyst in order to accommodate a treatment circuit with limited effluent retention capabilities. Copper sulfate pentahydrate additions are ideal for this purpose. Low effluent temperatures will significantly slow down the reaction time. Therefore, cyanide destruction circuits must be designed with sufficient retention time to allow the reaction to go to completion at the lowest possible effluent temperature experienced at a particular site. If shorter retention times are desired, more copper catalyst can be added to reduce the cyanide destruction reaction time.

Hydrogen peroxide can be shipped safely at high concentrations (up to 70% by weight  $H_2O_2$ ) and stored for long periods of time without appreciable loss of activity, which makes  $H_2O_2$  an ideal choice for remote locations.  $H_2O_2$  has proven invaluable for emergency detoxification programs, where low capital costs and quick start-up are essential requirements.

#### 4. Analytical Procedures

Two to three reactor displacements were carried out before representative samples of treated effluent were taken. The effluents were filtered and immediately prepared for the spectrophotometric determination of cyanide  $(CN_p)$  using the buffered picric acid method.

Filtrates were also analyzed for copper (Cu), and iron (Fe) using atomic absorption. The base metals samples were analyzed by site facility or independent laboratories. Also feed and final solutions were submitted to an independent laboratory for an ICP scan and cyanide.

Note: The cyanide concentration determined by the picric acid method  $(CN_p)$  includes all the cyanide except that complexed with iron in solution. Therefore,  $CN_p$  is greater than or equal to  $CN_{WAD}$  (weak acid dissociable cyanide) and is reported as  $CN_{WAD}$  in this report. The total cyanide  $(CN_T)$  can be accurately estimated using the formula:  $CN_T = CN_{WAD} + 2.795 \text{ x}$  Fe (mg/L).

#### 5. TEST RESULTS

#### 5.1 Test Procedures

The  $SO_2/AIR$  process was carried out in continuous mode in a properly aerated and agitated reactor, as would be the case in typical plant operations for either slurry or barren solution. Reagents (sodium metabisulfite and copper sulfate if required) were added in continuous mode and the pH was controlled by automatic addition of a lime suspension. Tests were carried out at room temperature. Samples of feed and treated effluent were taken at regular intervals for process monitoring and final samples taken at steady state conditions.

The Peroxide process was also carried out in continuous mode in an agitated reactor, unlike the  $SO_2/Air$  process the peroxide process does not require air addition due oxygen being produced by the peroxide as it decomposes. The pH was controlled by automatic addition of acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Tests were carried out at room temperature. Samples of feed and treated effluent were taken at regular intervals for process monitoring and final samples taken at steady state conditions.

#### 5.2 Treatment Objectives

The treatment objectives were to meet less than 1.0 mg/L CNwad in all cases. The 1.0 mg/L  $CN_{WAD}$  objective corresponds to the desired effluent quality at point of entry to the tailings pond. Please note that the treated solution would be recycled to the heap until the heap leach pad is totally rinsed and free of cyanide before any of the waters would be pumped the final tailings pond. This procedure would limit the amount of solution required to rinse out the heap.

# 5.3 Solution Treatment

# 5.3.1 SO<sub>2</sub>/AIR

The test work was carried out in continuous mode using SMBS and air addition, and provided the overall results.

Test	pН	KG	D.0	Meta	Cu	Feed	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	CN load	SO <sub>2</sub> Ratio	Cu Ratio	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Ret Time	Solution
No		mV	ppm	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	g/hr.	gSO <sub>2</sub> / gCN	ppm	$g Ca(OH)_2/gSO_2$	min	Lit/hr.
T-1	9.5	9	8.7	24	0.0	1400	0.0	0.294	5.22	0.0	0.09	58.6	1.40
Т-2	9.5	-6	8.5	24	0.0	1400	0.0	0.294	4.00	0.0	0.22	58.2	1.40
T-3	9.5	-50	8.5	24	0.0	1400	0.0	0.294	3.47	0.0	0.26	58.2	1.40
AVG	9.5	-34	8.6	24	0.0	1400	0.0	0.294	4.23	0.0	0.19	58.3	1.40

Sample	No.	CNp	Cu	Fe	pН	Calculated	Sample	No.	CNp	Cu	Fe	pН	Calculated
Feeds		ppm	ppm	ppm		CN total	Treated		ppm	ppm	ppm		CN total
Test 1		210	89.8	0.1	12.1	210.37	Test 1		0.2	0.41	0.01	9.5	0.23
Test 2		210	89.8	0.1	12.1	210.37	Test 2		0.6	0.67	0.01	9.5	0.63
Test 3		210	89.8	0.1	12.1	210.37	Test 3		1.1			9.5	
	AVG	210	89.8	0.1	12.1	210.37		AVG	0.63	0.54	0.01	9.5	

# 5.4 Solution Treatment Solution Treatment

# 5.4.1 Peroxide

The test work was carried out in continuous mode using peroxide and provided the overall results.

Test	pН	KG	D.0	$H_2O_2$	Cu	Feed	$H_2SO_4$	CN load	$H_2O_2$	Cu Ratio	$H_2SO_4$	Ret Time	Solution
No		mV	ppm	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	mL/hr.	g/hr.	Molar Ratio	ppm	$gH_2SO_4/gH_2O_2$	min	Lit/hr.
T-1	9.5	-165	11.9	24	0.0	1400	5.0	0.288	5.0	0.0	0.05	59.8	1.40
Т-2	9.5	-165	10.9	24	0.0	1400	30.0	0.288	3.0	0.0	0.52	58.7	1.40
T-3	9.5	-21	9.6	24	0.0	1400	30.0	0.286	6.0	0.0	0.26	58.7	1.40
AVG	9.5	-117	10.9	24	0.0	1400	0.0	0.287	4.66	0.0	0.27	58.7	1.40

Sample	No.	CNp	Cu	Fe	pН	Calculated	Sample	No.	CNp	Cu	Fe	pН	Calculated
Feeds		ppm	ppm	ppm		CN total	Treated		ppm	ppm	ppm		CN total
T-1		206.0	89.8	0.1	12.1	206.2	T-1		0.40	0.61	0.01	9.5	0.43
T-2		206.0	89.8	0.1	12.1	206.2	T-2		36.3	61.36	0.01	9.5	36.33
T-3		204.0	89.3	0.1	11.9	204.2	T-3		0.20	0.50	0.02	9.5	0.26
	AVG	205.3	89.5	0.1	12.1	205.53		AVG	12.3	20.82	0.01	9.5	12.34

# 6. DISCUSSION of RESULTS

The present discussion deals with the relative effectiveness of the various treatments process options for the Casino Project.

## 6.1 Solution Treatment

Any discussion on the relative merits of the effectiveness of the processes ( $SO_2$ /Air, Peroxide) must center on the choice of the best result per process and the cost tonne of solution treated.

In the case of the Peroxide and  $SO_2$ /Air processes, the best result was that result that achieved the limit with the lowest reagent addition rates. The highest reasonable or typical reagent addition was chosen as the best value.

# 7. Typical Laboratory Set-up



# 8. Typical Reagent Consumptions

CASINO - PROJECT OPERATING COST ESTIMATES:

SO<sub>2</sub>/AIR PROCESS-USING Sodium Metabisulphite BASIS: 1,000 m3 / day and 210 mg/L CNwad ITEM CONSUMPTION UNIT COST OPERATING DAILY COST: (CAD\$)

SMBS 1,404 kgs/day @ 750 \$/t = \$379,080/year. Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> 210 kgs/day @ 210 \$/t =\$16,200/year. TOTAL COST PER YEAR \$395,280

Peroxide PROCESS-USING 70% H<sub>2</sub>0<sub>2</sub> BASIS: 1,000 m3 / day and 210 mg/L CNwad ITEM CONSUMPTION UNIT COST OPERATING DAILY COST: (CAD\$)

H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 1,824 kgs/day @ 860 \$/t = \$564,710 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 0 t/yr @ 240 \$/t = \$157,593 TOTAL \$722,303

Please Note that the above figures do not include capital and electrical cost involved in operating each of the process.

#### 9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the test work, both  $SO_2/AIR$  and Peroxide effectively detoxify the effluents of the solutions tested, meeting or achieving lower than the 1.0 ppm  $CN_{WAD}$  target.

For economic reasons, the  $SO_2/AIR$  process is recommended over the peroxide process because of the advantages in operating and capital cost compared to the peroxide process. A Sulphur system configured to include a sulphur burner offers substantial operating cost savings. The additional capital for a sulphur burner would have a short payback period (based on present operating costs). Process selection for the Casino project will be highly driven by reagent pricing and capital cost. The SO2/Air process equipment can also be utilized for both solution and slurry treatment if required in the second phase of the project. On the basis of the present reagent price portfolio, the SO2/Air process is favored by a relatively inexpensive meta cost and the peroxide being penalized by quite expensive H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, reagent and capital cost.

### Disclaimer

The information and statements contained herein are believed to be accurate at the time of writing, but R&C Environmental Consulting Services Inc. makes no warranty with respect thereto, including but not limited to any results to be obtained or the infringement of any proprietary rights. Use or application of such information or statements is at user's sole discretion, without any liability on the part of R&C Environmental Consulting Services Inc. Nothing herein shall be construed as a license of or recommendation for use, which infringes upon any proprietary rights.



Inspectorate IPL 11620 Horseshoe Way, Richmond, B.C., Canada V7A 4V5 T:(604) 212-0818 F:(604) 212-0851 E:iple@inspectorate.com www.inspectorate.com ISO 9001:2000 Certified



Certificate#: 10B0685 Client: Western Copper Corporation Project: Western Copper Shipment#: PO#: No. of Samples: 2 Analysis #1: Ultratrace ICPMS Water Package Analysis #3: Comment #1: Comment #1: Comment #2: Date In: Feb 25, 2010 Date Out: Mar 04, 2010 Minimum detection		1	0.05	1	0.05	0.05	0.05	20	0.05	1	0.5	0.02	0.1	10	0.1	1	50	0.05	0.1	0.1
Maximum detection		100000	5000	100000	5000	5000	5000	100000	5000	500000	50000	2000	10000	500000	20000	500000	500000	5000	100000	10000
Method	3	3005A/6020 30	05A/6020 3	8005A/6020 30	05A/6020 30	005A/6020 30	05A/6020 3	3005A/6020 30	05A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	8005A/6020 3	005A/6020 30	005A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	005A/6020 3	8005A/6020 3	005A/6020
Sample Name	SampleType	Al µg/L	Sb µg/L	As µg/L	Ba µg/L	Be µg/L	Bi µg/L	B µg/L	Cd µg/L	Ca µg/L	Cr µg/L	Co µg/L	Cu µg/L	Fe µg/L	Pb µg/L	Li µg/L	Mg µg/L	Mn µg/L	Hg µg/L	Mo µg/L
Test # 1&2 (Diss) Test # 1&2 (Tot) RE Test # 1&2 (Diss)	Solution Solution Repeat	44 85 45	0.40 1.30 0.50	2 <1 2	15.40 81.10 15.20	<0.05 0.10 <0.05	<0.05 0.40 <0.05	<20 113 <20	0.60 <0.05 0.60	439234 439742 436301	<0.5 <0.5 <0.5	404.70 438.40 402.60	194.7 218.3 192.0	673 586 675	<0.1 0.4 <0.1	<1 <1 <1	271 285 289	0.20 0.20 0.20	1.3 5.3 1.4	4175.6 4229.6 4114.7

\* Values highlighted (in yellow) are over the high detection limit for the corresponding methods. Other testing methods would be suggested. Please call for details.



Inspec 11620 Horseshoe Way, Rich T:(604) 272-7818 F:(604) 27 www.insp ISO 9001::

Certificate#: 1080685 Client: Western Copper Corporation Project: Western Copper Shipment#: PO#: No. of Samples: 2 Analysis #1: Ultratrace ICPMS Water Package Analysis #3: Comment #1: Comment #1: Comment #1: Date In: Feb 25, 2010 Date Out: Mar 04, 2010 Minimum detection Maximum detection Method	0.2 20000 3005A/6020		50 500000 3005A/6020				50 500000 3005A/6020	0.5 50000 3005A/6020	0.01 1000 3005A/6020								
Sample Name	Ni	Р	К	Se	Si		Na	S		Ti	TI	Sn	U	V	Zn		
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	
Test # 1&2 (Diss)	13.4	<20	7409	22.2	877	3.80	434548	554904.6	565.40	<10	0.10	9.30	0.10	5	5.1	<0.5	
Test # 1&2 (Tot)	14.4	<20	7501	23.5	1732	32.00	436658	548722.4	590.20	<10	0.10	9.90	0.10	<1	9.4	0.8	
RE Test # 1&2 (Diss)	13.7	<20	7506	21.1	895	3.60	432691	552541.9	570.00	<10	0.10	8.80	0.10	5	4.6	<0.5	

\* Values highlighted (in yellow) are over the high de



Inspectorate IPL 11620 Horseshoe Way, Richmond, B.C., Canada V7A 4V5 T:(604) 272-7818 F:(604) 272-0851 E:ipl@inspectorate.com www.inspectorate.com ISO 9001:2000 Certified



Certificate#: 10B0686 Client: Western Copper Corporation Project: Western Copper Shipment#: PO#: No. of Samples: 1 Analysis #1: Au,Cond,TDS,Alk,SO4,Cl,F,Br,P,O-PO4 Analysis #2: pH,NO3-N,NO2-N,NH4,NH3-N,SCN,CN(WAD Analysis #2: Date In: Feb 25, 2010 Date Out: Mar 03, 2010 Minimum detection Maximum detection Method		0.01 5000 FA/AAS	0.01 14 ENV	0.1 999 Env ST	1 100000 DM2540C	1 10000 2320B	1 10000 Env	0.1 10000 Env-IC	0.1 1000 Env-IC	0.1 100 Env-IC	0.1 9999.9 Env-Dig_IC	0.1 9999.9 Env-IC	
Sample Name	SampleType	Au mg/L	рН 	Cond mS/Cm	TDS mg/Lmg	Ttl Alk g/L-CaCO3	SO4-2 mg/L	Cl- mg/L	F- mg/L	Br- mg/L	PO4-3(T) mg/L	O-PO4 mg/L	
Test # 1&2 RE Test # 1&2 Blank iPL OXI67 OXI67 REF	Solution Repeat Blk iPL Std iPL Std iPL	0.15 0.16 <0.01 1.82 1.82	7.99 8.02  	3.5 3.5  	3186 3170   	81 81  	1701 1691  	35.0 35.1  	0.3 0.3  	<0.1 <0.1  	<0.1 <0.1  	<0.1 <0.1  	

\* Values highlighted (in yellow) are over the high detection limit for the corresponding methods. Other testing methods would be suggested. Please call for details.



Inspectorate IPL 11620 Horseshoe Way, Richmond, B.C., Car T:(604) 272-7818 F:(604) 272-0851 E:ipl@ir www.inspectorate.com ISO 9001:2000 Certified

Certificate#: 10B0686 Client: Western Copper Corporation Project: Western Copper Shipment#: PO#: No. of Samples: 1 Analysis #1: Au,Cond,TDS,Alk,SO4,CI,F,Br,P,O-PO4 Analysis #1: Au,Cond,TDS,Alk,SO4,CI,F,Br,P,O-PO4 Analysis #3: CN(T)CN-free Comment #1: connect with job 10B0685 Comment #2: Date In: Feb 25, 2010 Date Out: Mar 03, 2010 Minimum detection Maximum detection Mathod	0.1 9999.9 Env-IC	0.01 999 Env	0.03 1400 STDM4500	0.1 1400 Env-IC	0.005 99999.999 Env	0.005 99999.999 4500-CN-E	0.005 99999.999 4500-CN-I	1 10000 Env
Sample Name	NO3-N	NO2-N	NH4	NH3-N	Free CN-	(Total)CN-	(WAD)CN-	SCN-
	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Test # 1&2	1.0	59.14	14.57	11.3	0.097	0.217	0.101	3
RE Test # 1&2	1.0	60.02	14.83	11.5	0.099	0.222	0.106	3
Blank iPL								
OXI67								
OXI67 REF								

\* Values highlighted (in yellow) are over the high detection limit